

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-047

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 31, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made improper rulings in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 31, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-047

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Plz See the Attached Letter

Dear Chief Judge and all the Court Judges
Of Arizona State.

: Case number

RE: Judge / Hon.

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding of the trial in the case of
as Plaintiff and Defendant

Which took a
place In County in . Court was In session and my attorney gave
his presentation, jury was sitting and Judge dismissed the case because my
Attorney said New owners name and he made in months.

Note: Judge tied our hands not to say word Power of Attorney. Not to Say that
had similar case in other states including and . 3. He said
not to mention new owner made Million dollars within months when LLC members
could make that money.

I surly believe that those restrictions imposed during trial, particularly by Honorable
Judge significantly impacted the fairness of the proceedings and trial, he said
they will loose credibility right away.

1. ****Limitation on Power of Attorney Terminology:****

During the trial, we were restricted from using the term "power of attorney," hindering
our ability to present a complete and accurate case.

2. ****Suppression of Relevant Information:****

I was not allowed to mention []'s ongoing similar cases with other
individuals, nor could I highlight the fact that the new owner made
million within months. In the Court and their Depositions they all claims that they did
not have Power of Attorney by me, plus they rewrite contract days later they never
asked me to sign anything about my percent share. forage my
signature.

All I want was fair trail and my money back. Because of all that happened now I'm going
through depression physically and financially, I'm only one working and my husband is
disabled.

The implications of these limitations have had a direct impact on my life, resulting in an
unfavorable outcome and the obligation to pay the opposite party's attorney fees.

I kindly request a thorough review of these concerns, as I believe they significantly
influenced the fairness of the trial.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,