## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 24-046
Judge:	
Complainant:	

## **ORDER**

May 31, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made improper rulings in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 31, 2024.

## CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-046

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE							
Name:				Judge's Name:	Judge		
words what names, dat pages may l	you believes, times, be attached	e the judge and places along with	plain paper of the e did that constitut that will help th	same size to fil tes judicial misco ne commission u o) of relevant cour	e a complaint. Describe in your or onduct. Be specific and list all of t inderstand your concerns. Addition t documents. Please complete one si		
Plz	See	the	Attached	Letter			

Dear Chief Judge and all the Court Judges Of Arizona State.

: Case number

RE: Judge

/ Hon.

I'm writing to express my concerns regarding of the trail in the case of as Plaintiff and Defendant

place In County in Court was In session and my attorney gave his presentation, jury was sitting and Judge dismissed the case because my Attorney said New owners name and he made in months.

Note: Judge tied our hands not to say word Power of Attorney and New York Power of Attorney Attorney and New York Power of Attorney Attorney and New York Power of Attorney A

Note: Judge tied our hands not to say word Power of Attorney. Not to Say that had similar case in other states including and 3. He said not to mention new owner made Million dollars within months when LLC members could make that money.

I surly believe that those restrictions imposed during trial, particularly by Honorable Judge significantly impacted the fairness of the proceedings and trial, he said they will loose credibility right away.

- 1. \*\*Limitation on Power of Attorney Terminology:\*\*

  During the trial, we were restricted from using the term "power of attorney," hindering our ability to present a complete and accurate case.
- 2. \*\*Suppression of Relevant Information:\*\*

I was not allowed to mention [ 's ongoing similar cases with other individuals, nor could I highlight the fact that the new owner made million within months. In the Court and their Depositions they all claims that they did not have Power of Attorney by me, plus they rewrite contract days later they never asked me to sign anything about my percent share. forage my signature.

All I want was fair trail and my money back. Because of all that happened now I'm going through depression physically and financially, I'm only one working and my husband is disabled.

The implications of these limitations have had a direct impact on my life, resulting in an unfavorable outcome and the obligation to pay the opposite party's attorney fees.

I kindly request a thorough review of these concerns, as I believe they significantly influenced the fairness of the trial.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,