

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-006

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 24, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made improper rulings in his extradition case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 24, 2024.

Comp

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 COMPLAINT ON JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

9

10 Commissioner

11

12

13

14

15 Is in violation of her Oath to the Supreme
 16 Laws of the Land. ("Supremacy Clause" U.S. Const.
 17 Article VI, and her "Code of Conduct for the
 18 State of Arizona" (AZ ST Code of Jud. Admin.,
 19 Sec. 1-303).

20 Canon 1: Rule 1.1-1.3

21 Canon 2: Rule 2.1-2.6, 2.8

22 Background:

23 I, the petitioner made my first special
 24 appearance in courtroom before Comm.
 25 on . Immediately I
 26 expressed several concerns after confirming
 27 my Pro per status #1) There were issues with

1 the cooperation of (I.L.S.) Inmate Legal Services
2 and access to the Law Library based on the
3 deprivation of a tablet. #2) That I had Not been
4 Lawfully arrested or held to answer to any
5 sworn charge or complaint and affidavit
6 attached to a warrant and still did not
7 possess any documents other than a single
8 sheet stating a charge. (see: A.R.S. 13-3853
9 last 6 lines and U.S. Const. Amend VI on nature
10 and cause of accu.)

11 Weeks later I recieved the minute entry
12 after several inquiries and after I persisted
13 to challenge the legality of my arrest on
14 I became aware that fraud was
15 taking place on the record. At the time
16 I was unsure if a clerical error occured
17 or not so I filed a Judicial Notice on
18

19 I week before my 90th day challenging
20 extradition I recieved a tablet to access
21 the Law Library. On the day of

22 I recieved the executive warrant
23 with all the previous documents I had been
24 requesting verbally and through written
25 motions on this 90th day. I immediately
26 made it known that I intended to file a
27 Habeas Corpus pursuant to A.R.S. 13-3850.

1 On this very same day Commissioner
 2 _____ in open court suggested
 3 a status check hearing on _____ to
 4 file a Habeas Petition in court for a
 5 hearing on _____

6 On the day of _____ Commissioner
 7 _____ attempted to divert the Habeas
 8 matter towards old motions she had
 9 denied. When I attempted to file my
 10 petition with the clerk through the
 11 bailiff she became hostile and ordered
 12 me out of the courtroom. On my
 13 coerced exit by the bailiff I inquired
 14 about the minute entry. A few days
 15 later I recieved a minute entry with
 16 false information about things that
 17 were never discussed in open court.

18 Conclusion

19 A serious abuse of power is and
 20 has been occurring in courtroom
 21 between the employees of _____ County
 22 under the authority of Commissioner
 23 _____ . I am requestion that
 24 immediate action take place to prevent
 25 any further miscarriage of justice by
 26 the way of a fraudulent conveyance, n
 27 through a colorable extradition