State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-521
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

April 5, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner violated his and his daughter's First Amendment rights by designating her a vexatious litigant and limiting their communication with the commissioner's judicial assistant in a family law matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 5, 2024.

This man thinks he doesn't have to answer to anyone, he does whatever he wants at court knowing that no one can tell him anything about his madness. That is why I come before you to bring this to your attention. This man by the name of

is ignorant regarding the law and the conduct of a judge violating our rights and the rights of my grandson who is only vears old. He cannot defend himself from the verbal and physical abuse caused by his father and its Judge fault for giving him custody. This man is violating my first amendment provides that congress makes no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting its free exercise. It protects freedom of speech, the press, assembly, and the right to petition the government for redress of grievances is the right to make a complaint to or seek the assistance of one's government without fear of punishment or reprisals. The right can be traced back to the bill of rights 1689 the petition of right (1628) and magno carta (1215). He only wants to give orders and I do not care, I am not part of this case and he wants to tell me what to do, no one tells me what to do especially not a coward like this judge. We have been writing these letters for four years and you are covering for like you covered the you haven't done anything to him either but here we are again coward maybe this time you will do something and it if bothers you too bad, that is the truth. assistant is not professional and the judge does not want to see it. I have a lot of recordings of how she behaved and that is why this judge wants to violate our civil rights as citizens of this country. The judge's ignorance as well as have put my grandson and my daughter

in danger because their ignorance of leaving my grandson with his dad, we have more than police reports regarding bumps and bruises and the judge ignores everything and my grandson does not go to the doctor. He wants to force my daughter to have a relationship with her ex, there are more than orders of protection and he removes them because he can and feels like it. These judges have mommy issues and they are cowards towards children and women. If something happens to my daughter or my grandson, it would be on their hands and conscience. Karma sees everything.