

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-513

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 19, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made an improper ruling on his motion for a new judge in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Joseph C. Kreamer and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 19, 2024.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2023-513

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In response to my motion for reconsideration of
Judge [] minute entry order. Ruling, Denying
Denial motion for change of Judge filed
Judge [] on [] wrote:
"
"
Judge [] Just admitted everything I
said at this hearing is true. And instead of add-
ressing, taking it in, when considering my motion,
because it's relevant also to Judge [] she
dismisses it, say race across the board doesn't
matter. DEA [] bigotry, race baiting, racial
profiling and prejudicial comments during closing
arguments and Judge [] encouraging it
by doing nothing about, doesn't matter.

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

So if Judge refuses to do anything, by exercising moral discretion than he's just as racist too. Just because Judge thinks of me as a , doesn't legally mean he's bias against me in his court. Judge never said what did, wasn't so over the top racist. But just that race isn't grounds to remove a Judge for bias. Judge also wrote:
"
"

First, I would like to say something about claiming to be the victim when she says,

" There's a racist prosecution by the state and Judge [redacted], trying to bury me in prison but she's the victim. Now, back to my original argument. OK, let's say everything happened exactly the way it did during trial's closing argument. DCA says exactly what she said that day. How she called me a pimp and drug dealer, even though all sex trafficking charges were dismissed w/prejudice. And I was never charged w/selling drugs. How she flat out lied to the jury, by changing her witnesses testimony. From me having sex with a grown woman, to a minor [redacted]. And immediately after, Judge [redacted] stands up in front of the jury and flat out says...
"

Well, according to Judge [redacted] minute entry, my race or across the board, has nothing to do with criminal case proceedings and is never an issue when it comes to the law. But you know what she didn't say? She never said once that my arguments were baseless or conspiracy theorys. She avoid the

Subject in details completely because she's
prejudice against me too. It's easier to
talk about race doesn't matter than to
address it. She's protecting DCA
, covering for her and lying. I
mean, why should I expect anything less
from a former federal prosecutor who
secretly recorded defense attorney phone
calls? Judge _____ thinks she's so
smart by avoiding DCA _____ prejudicial
prosecution. _____ brings up "

" and " _____ " But acts
as a legalese trying to sound smart
for public record. She's trying to out
smart and manipulate the people like
a true-con-artist. Why is everyone
trying to bullshit me just because I'm
? _____ is full of shit! Like the

_____ picking and choosing who to dis-
cipline, even though people come forward
with extraordinary claims. Instead of
addressing the problem and getting rid of
a bad apple when people has brought
it to them only because they have no
access to the can, makes and passes
it to someone farther away from the
trash... When Judge _____ talks about
legal errors, she trying to normalize

a blatant racist prosecution by DCA
But I'm not
the one to fall for it and neither is
the _____ of the media. It wasn't a
legal error when the Nazis forced
by manipulation, 6 million "Jews" into
"human ovens" for extermination. So
isn't a racist prosecution and Judges
silence about an innocent man going
to prison a legal error either.

The purpose of this charge is to
inform you of Judge _____ knowledge
of prosecutor's prejudice, evil comments
during closing argument during trial. And
she not only doing nothing about it but
also lying and covering for DCA
in her minute entry on _____. That
racism against anyone is no big deal.