State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-506
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

May 17, 2024

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace pro tem was rude and ignored his evidence in a contract case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 17, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-506

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Judg	ge's Name:		
words what you be names, dates, time pages may be attach	this form or plain paper clieve the judge did that es, and places that will ned along with copies (not and keep a copy of the comp	constitutes judges help the cooriginals) of a	udicial misconduct ommission unders relevant court docu	t. Be specific an	nd list all of the erns. Additiona
one side to the pro- admitted ZERO ext completely ignored	y very rude and/or abusiv ceeding. She admitted all hibits filed by Defendant. all of our evidence and to nd Nepotism with the Plai	Exhibits filed We are infuri estimony whi	d by Plaintiff's atto ated by the Judge	rney, on the oth	er side, she ause she
major reasons for r County	dant should win, but she d	s, but the "ex case and an	hibit summary pag other Judge. Ever	ge" was request n if she treated o	ed by the our Exhibits as
"NOTICE OF APPE file a Civil Rights vi	TION to SET ASIDE / VA EAL" and related legal pa olation report at the Civil INT FOR VIOLATION OF f necessary.	perwork, and Rights Divisi	will file "APPELL on,		
and Trial audios/vio	d a summary of our Exhib deos held on we cannot email you, so v	, and	aded them at and	The trial vide) exhibits eo/audio files ocated at
2. Based on ARS of	case law and \ m Rental version of lefinitions of "dwelling" an ant's property is an Exem	d "dwelling u	fendant should wi nit" and CC&Rs d		
4. Defendant rents 5. Defendant has notice and an opportunity on members for violated Arizo 6. Selective Enforce community doing S 7. All ads posted by 8. Plaintiff has never state Law. 9. The President of	ed the eviction process in to a "Person", which is a sever received any notifical properties of the declaration of the declaration on a Corp law. The fine increment: based on Plaintiff TR, but Plaintiff only filed by Defendant's Tenant shoer notified Defendant of the Plaintiff (ation from Pla oard of direct , bylaws and reased from 's exhibits, th I one lawsuit ow a minimal	aintiff, which violate fors may impose r rules of the assoc to ere are at least against Defendan stay of days (E	easonable mono ciation". And, all per day is NOT () other pro tt. Exhibit 7.8) / CC&Rs, which	3 (B) "After etary penalties Resolutions reasonable. operties in this violated the
new CC&Rs.		10000	A COUNTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		A STATE OF THE STATE OF

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

_	COMPLAINT AGA	AINST A JUDGE	
Name:	a di	Judge's Name:	
words what you believe t names, dates, times, and may be attached along with	he judge did that constitute places that will help the con	es judicial misconduct. nmission understand y elevant court document	nplaint. Describe in your ow Be specific and list all of th our concerns. Additional page s. Please complete one side of
taken by illegally entering	cess to Defendant's proper into Defendant's property.		
	collected any monetary or i		
	nt's Tenant joined the "	' program, the	
and Plaintiff refused to se			uld show Defendant has
	rom these STR companies.		
	term lease with his Tenant		
	ned on the Complaint form,		
Counterclaim), and filed of			al deadline. Plaintiff's
attorney filed the Reply to	Counterclaim on	, (days after the legal
deadline. 14. Another house in the	cama community	ant a	City STR license (license
	was purchased after the ne		
much easier for Plaintiff to	was purchased after the new	ff did not take any activ	on on it only sued
Defendant. Another hous			izona Attorney General's
Office has a case number		elective Enforcement	The state of the s
	t forms to his friends/relative		
	1.3), that's why Plaintiff and		
Consent forms to Defend			
16. On Plaintiff's attorney	s website, it clearly shows	a fine increase from	to is NOT
			ase) is reasonable?
17. travel log data shows	all fines posted on Plaintiff	s Exhibit were occupie	d by Defendant Tenant's
employees/directors/			
18. Based on	Court lawsuit	,1	
		Ruling made on	, "The Resolution was
	he homeowners.". In this lay		
		neowners approved the	e Resolution (the President
himself). So, the Resoluti			
	Plaintiff's attorney showed the	ne host names were	
etc, no one is related to D		and the second	and the second second
20. The Judge denied all	Motions filed by Defendant,	but granted all Motion	s filed by Plaintiff.
ludge	and ignored all those foots	and made a desision I	EOR Digintiff ACAINIST
	mply ignored all these facts, ut any reason why she mad		
mistake on the judgment			nowever, the last trial was
	bly the reason is Defendant		days to file "NOTICE OF
	she can claim Defendant ha		
		A PASSAGE DISTRIBUTE	
			anations as to why the judge
	t. Right now, it shows nothin	ig on the Judgment ord	der. It cannot be based on
race, color, national origin	ı, etc.		

Exhibit ONE: Defendant purchased the subject property on ,
Plaintiff's CC&Rs were amended on , based on , No. , Arizona Supreme Court Ruling and ruling by Arizona Court of Appeals,
Defendant requests to dismiss the complaint filed by Plaintiff and grant court and

legal fees and costs paid by Defendant.

<u>Exhibit TWO:</u> The defendant is an Arizona registered nonprofit organization, with IRS and Arizona tax-exempt status (501(C)(3)).

Based on ARS 33-2001. <u>Definitions</u> "dwelling" and ARS 33-1310. <u>General definitions of</u> "dwelling unit", and HOA's CC&Rs definitions of "unit", "properties", and "common area" and based on CC&Rs Article V, Section 10 (Both new and old versions): Exempt Property, Defendant has no obligation to pay any assessment, including, but not limited to monthly/annual assessment, special assessment (fine), etc. Plaintiff should pay back all assessments paid by Defendant plus interest at 10% per year, accumulated. The final amount depends on when this case has a final judgment, we can do math at that time. Four and a half years plus interest should be around

Also, Defendant shall stop paying monthly assessments and any other assessments since this is an Exempt Property.

<u>Exhibit THREE:</u> Defendant already started the eviction processes without demand from Plaintiff (in without knowledge of Exhibit ONE), and Plaintiff refused to provide help, or evidence at that time. Based on the study of Arizona State Laws, Tenant did not violate any of Plaintiff's CC&R (and this CC&R can be voided), and the Defendant and/or his Tenant is not in violation at all!!!

Exhibit FOUR: Based on "ARIZONA RESIDENTIAL LANDLORD AND TENANT ACT", ARS 33-1310. General definitions. and the nature of this case, Defendant rents this property to a Person, which is a Corporation/Joint Venture, which occupies this dwelling unit to the exclusion of other organizations.

Defendant's Tenant is a Corp/Joint Venture who is entitled to occupy this dwelling unit based on the Arizona State Law!!!

<u>Exhibit FIVE:</u> Based on Arizona law ARS 33-1803 (B) "After notice and an opportunity to be heard, the board of directors may impose reasonable monetary penalties on members for violations of the declaration, bylaws and rules of the association". The HOA may not issue a fine until it first offers Defendant a hearing before the board of directors. If the HOA fails to provide Defendant an opportunity for a hearing before the fine is imposed, the fine is illegal and not enforceable.

Also, the fine increased from to , which is and that is also much more than the city of fine limit, it is **NOT reasonable**.

has a very permissive definition of what is a reasonable fine. The statute permits a reasonable fine of per single violation with fines permitted to accrue **up to** . Arizona is not , but Arizona is NOT much richer than .

In the WITNESS section of "Enforcement Resolution", there is **no Secretary's printed name** (and from the signature, we cannot tell his/her name), and **no signatures** of the Board of Directors, who have approved it. Only one person's name is there,

In "Short-Term Rental Enforcement and Fine Policy", **no witness**, and **no signatures** from the Board of Directors, who have approved it. Only one person's name is there,

Only the original policy "Rules Enforcement Policy", which follows ARS 33-1803(B) has all signatures of all board of directors who have approved it.

Based on Court lawsuit ,

The Ruling made on , "The Resolution was not approved by 75% of the homeowners.

Defendant has never received any notice from Plaintiff. Plaintiff is supposed to send all communications to Defendant by certified mail and return receipt. Then, we can resolve this issue at the HOA hearing or hearing stage. Now it's too late. Plaintiff needs to reimburse all court fees and costs paid by Defendant.

Exhibit SIX: Based on the Federal and Arizona State Law and City Ordinance 4497, i.e., Anti-Discrimination Ordinance, also in Plaintiff's CC&R, Article XV, Section 2. Equal Treatment of Owners. No action shall at any time be taken by the Association or its Board of Directors which in any manner would discriminate against any owner or owners in favor of the other owners. However, the President () of the Board of Directors of Plaintiff discriminates against Defendant in favor of himself. NO selective enforcement is allowed!!!

<u>Exhibit SEVEN</u>: Fine history. This exhibit shows how each fine was added to Defendant's account; however, they were not valid fines and should be removed.

Based on exhibit TWO, Exempt Property, Defendant does not need to explain here, because Defendant should not pay any assessment (including all the fines), and most of them were already explained to Plaintiff by Defendant, but Plaintiff just ignored all that Defendant stated in the past. Plaintiff's goal was explained in exhibit SIX, i.e., discriminating against Defendant in favor of the President of the Plaintiff. **NO selective enforcement is allowed!!!**

<u>Exhibit EIGHT:</u> Plaintiff has violated the State Law and the CC&R when trying to pass the new CC&R, so the new CC&R is invalid. Also, there are a lot of potential Fraud activities made by Plaintiff when tried to pass the new CC&R and the discrimination against Defendant.

Exhibit NINE: The new CC&R has voided the Association Rules Resolution A, but the President of Plaintiff is still running his two businesses with persons/customers coming on to his house and for commercial purposes. Unless we honor the Grandfathered-in Clause (the older version of CC&R does not have "persons coming to promises and the Association Rules Resolution A covers for commercial purposes), the President of Plaintiff violates the new CC&R. However, the discrimination still exists!!! (There was a 911 police emergency car parked at the President's house on , please pull the police report).

<u>Exhibit TEN:</u> Regarding the **illegal and abused access to Defendant's property** by the President of Plaintiff and the **Restraining Order request**.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.