State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-505
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

April 12, 2024

The Complainant alleged biased and improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 12, 2024.

${\it CONFIDENTIAL}$

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-505

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that constit names, dates, times, and places that will help pages may be attached along with copies (not origina of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for	
applied to the Court for early termination in of my civil rights, he facilitated multiple acts of blata allowed multiple officers from the	temperament, and an overt bias towards me, when I of . Judge actively facilitated the violation ant perjury on behalf of probation officers, and he to fabricate court documents, fabricate Court, all because of his biased and compromised
a willingness to turn a blind eye to overt perjury and towards me. Judge , , and their Officers abused his authority and used his position of power	ecord, and then, unbelievably, he tried to cover it all up
throughout the year are attached here, and demons whatsoever, and the cover that he ran for these are also his "colleagues". Judge is improper	accusations, fabricated test results, and outright, nd facilitated the lies. Judge minute entries strate his complete inability to comprehend the situation officers in so violating my rights, such Officers who
their memorandum, that they were fabricating test r rights. He knew this, and he covered up for	evidence, that officers were lying to him through esults, and that they were actively violating my civil actions. This "cover-up" is particularly evident in Judge n asking him to Clarify his decision, which made
JUDICIAL OFFICERS. Judge does not have	jury in his courtroom, especially from OTHER e discretion to allow onto the record documents that duty to remain partial and if he cannot, to recuse. Judge acilitate the violation of a party's civil rights.
to a lawsuit against all of these Officers, including the was reckless, careless, and let his bias spill denied by any reasonable person. Judge	nd his abuse of power and discretion have contributed ne Chief Probation Officer for County. Judge out in a way that is so patently obvious it cannot be sted far more like a corrupt probation officer than a to deny me a fair hearing and due process; and his

${\it CONFIDENTIAL}$

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE				
Name:	Judge's Name:			
words what you believe the judge did that constit	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own utes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages relevant court documents. Please complete one side of our records.			
statements, and fabricated documents. Judge Conduct, Rules 2.1-2.5, and especially Rule 2.6, as communications with officers. I was explicitly titigated, that she had spoken to Judge aboracilitating illicit behavior, but also conspiring behavior is dangerous and directly threatens the rulect in concert with other judicial officers to violate an as an affirmative duty to correct the record and not documents. Judge does not work for the	ilitate and assist with their nefarious activity, perjured actions in this case violate the ACJA Code of s Judge absolutely has had illicit ex-parte old by an Director, while my petition was being ut the case. Now it seems Judge is not only to help produce and refine it. Judge le of law. Judge does not have the power to			
PERJURY, and Judge KNEW IT, he simply	says it all, denying a simple Motion for Clarification, for that was, that his Order was based on LIES and did not care. Judge flouted the rules of ethics, a mockery of the judicial system and completely			

II. Violations

success.

19

20

21

22

I am absolutely grateful for. All of this has helped to line me up for current and future

III. Conduct Warranting Early Termination

19

20

21

22

- 14. Despite the above referenced violations, I believe that the positive changes and achievements that I have accomplished outweigh them in favor of early termination.
- 14. When I was placed on probation in , I merely had a high school diploma and was working in a restaurant. With the assistance of probation in general and my probation

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.