State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-475
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

April 12, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge told him to shut up and did not allow him to be heard.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 12, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-475

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that constitu names, dates, times, and places that will help t	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own ites judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the he commission understand your concerns. Additional s) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side your records.
Case #	
as a defendant in hearing. She had pasted judgemeneeded an attorney present for a Limited Partnership to reschedule to get an attorney. She said, ' '. A hospital days prior to court date, for surgestated to her that I am a disabled veterain. Which I	o shut up and we don't have a turn to speak. We ental and treating. She was siding with the platiff of were given one minute to speak. Which court was a speak. This violates my constitutialial right to speak ent on a Limited Partnership, knowing defendent powhich is ARS Statute law. Which I had asked her also, I stated to her, that I was just releast from the ry. Then she stated that she didn't care. Then I had the documentation that I was released from the not want to see it. Which was illegal for show of proof with the
30000 M (60000 M (70000 M)	
Arizona, Canidate	