

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-443

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 5, 2024

The Complainant alleged an appellate court judge (now retired) made an improper legal ruling in a probate case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 5, 2024.

2023-443

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This complaint is against judges of [] of [] Arizona, I appealed [] of [] County Court to [] at a total cost of more than [] I did this very quickly as I was trying to avoid having more than [] squandered out of State and Overseas. They did not give me a hearing. My understanding is they are supposed to take this matter to the State Legislature. They did not do the either. I think the charge against them, and also of [] County amounts to theft of service. I also have this matter before [] of [] I think he should see to it that the money for () site does not cross State and District lines and instead is placed with me the property holder here in Arizona. The money, payment reportably amounts to [] per month so please hurry. Each month you servz helps keep my property and Arizona much greener
Thankyou, []