

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-439

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 5, 2024

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge (now retired) hearing a probate case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 5, 2024.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2023-439

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns.

In [] of [] County [] Court judge [] assigned an out of State representative. He did this without any of the other persons appearing in court. he used only emails and brief out of State telephone calls for this. I consider this a misuse of the county court them thinking they can do business out of state. I spent [] on objections. On the cover it said [] County court, In and for [] County. I am the in State resident and the registered owner of the property. I did appear in court to rpresent my interests. The out of State and out of Country parties relied on ARS 14 for thier claim. According to the definitions page of the Article " Court " is defined as the [] Court of Arizona. The [] Court of Arizona is the State Legislature led by the Governor. So the [] County [] Court was out of jurisdiction on three counts. Count 1 The [] Court of Arizona is the State Legislature led by the Governor. This body would never have made the decision the court made. If they had they would all have been voted out of office emmedately. Count 2 [] Arizona is Districted with [] they are not in the same District which the property is on, which is District 2. Count 3 They were trying to hold court for out of State and overseas, this is clearly beyond any of thier jurisdiction. I guess the court thought they could make an out of State person a " personal representative " for a long deceased person who died out of country. I am the resident of the property and have been for manv vears. it is deeded to me in Official Records of [] County Recorder [] Date/Time [] Fee [] dollars Pages 4 Fee [] Number [] The court has [] dollars a month for [] ([]) site [] going out of state. It seems the court thought it would be ok to send this to a " LLC " in [] they will not make the requirements for an LLC, as an LLC is publically held. None of them are residents of Arizona they havent resided in Arizona as adulots, niether I, nor the State has any responsibility for them. The money for tower site should properly go to the property holder and resident, which is I. What the court decided is clearly a malfeasance. If the property bz an estate as is strongly implied then the money for the Tower should remain with the property holder. This strengthens the estate and the Statz. The others involvzed are neither minors nor dependant adults. A dependant adult is someone between 18 to 26 completing College or post gradvatz studies. They have not resided in Arizona as adults Arizona has no responsibility for them. The Estate has always been for [] is the name for God never spoken. The name [] is for [] only they should not be permitted to Take the name of God in vain.

Please hurry the amount in question² is reportedly [] per month.



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This complaint is against judges
of _____ of _____ Arizona, I appealed
of _____ County _____ Court to
at a total cost of more than _____
I did this very quickly as I was trying to avoid having more
than _____ squandered out of State and Overseas.
They did not give me a hearing. My understanding is they
are supposed to take this matter to the State Legislature.
They did not do the either.
I think the charge against them, and also
of _____ County amounts to theft of service.
I also have this matter before _____ of
_____ . I think he should see to
it that the money for
() site _____ does not cross State and District
lines and instead is placed with me
the property holder here in Arizona.
The money, payment reportably amounts to
per month so please hurry. Each month you servz helps
keep my property and Arizona much greener
Thankyou,