# State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition	of	Compl	laint	23-433
-------------	----	-------	-------	--------

Judge:

Complainants:

### **ORDER**

August 14, 2024

The Complainants alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 14, 2024.

#### **CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-433

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE Judge's Name: Name: Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. regarding I am writing to file a complaint against Judge County her handling of my divorce case number, In multiple rulings, Judge erroneously stated that I had not requested spousal maintenance in my Amended Petition for Dissolution on despite clear evidence throughout the petition showing that I made multiple requests. When I filed motions to reconsider and provided highlighted copies of my requests again, the judge still refused to acknowledge the evidence. For example, in her Order she stated However, as highlighted in Exhibit 2, page 8 of my amended complaint clearly shows I requested spousal repeated this incorrect assertion in her minute entry as maintenance. Judge well, ignoring the evidence presented. Her willful disregard of undisputed facts in the record is concerning. I believe this violates Rules 1.1, 2.2, 2.5, and 2.6 of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct requiring competence, impartiality, fairness, and proper legal analysis. Rule 1.1 requires judges to comply with the law, maintain professional competence, and facilitate the performance of administrative responsibilities. Rule 2.2 requires judges to perform all duties fairly and impartially. Rule 2.5(A) requires judges to perform judicial and administrative duties competently and diligently. Rule 2.6(A) requires judges to uphold the law and apply it impartially and without regard to the parties. arbitrary decision-making based on a clear factual error shows bias against me. Her abuse of discretion in ignoring evidence prejudices my ability to be heard on spousal maintenance and violates my due process rights. I respectfully request an investigation into this judicial misconduct. Please contact me if any additional information is needed.

## CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				

COMPLAINT	AGAINST A JUDGE	
Name:	Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the words what you believe the judge did that constitutionally names, dates, times, and places that will help pages may be attached along with copies (not origin of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for the paper only).	itutes judicial misconduct. Be specific the commission understand your conals) of relevant court documents. Pleas	and list all of th oncerns. Additions
I am writing to file a complaint against the handling of the recent court case involving the resident of the state of and a professional deeply troubled by what I have witnessed in this c	I member of the	regarding As a family, I am
Specifically, Judge has denied over or justification. Each one of these motions have be area yet this judge has denied each one without a	motions filed by the petitioner without een vetted by some of the top attorney any respect or regard for Arizona laws.	s in the Phoenix
The petitioner was also recently inexplicably denie my vantage point, these rulings seem incredibly b was requested within her Amended Petition for Di contained multiple requests for spousal support, y exist. Judge has either failed to review this clear and blatant abuse of discretion by Judge	oiased and unfair. The petitioner provid issolution on The	ed exactly what packet ed that it did not
I have reviewed the details of this case with the sa Judge actions are concerning - denying evidence of necessity. This suggests a personal b	motions without basis and refusing sup	pport despite
Judges carry an enormous responsibility to make this case Judge appears to be acting as a judge alleged relationship with the oppos	impartial rulings based on facts and la in advocate rather than an impartial art sing counsel is affecting her judgment.	oiter. I worry that
As a public servant, Judge should be held improper. I urge the Conduct Board to review this Parties deserve a hearing free of prejudice. Expla	l accountable for rulings that seem arb matter closely to ensure justice and fa anations should be provided when moti	airness prevails.
Please investigate Judge handling of this unwilling or unable to render unbiased decisions a	s case. Her position should be examin as duty demands.	ed if she is
If this matter is not properly addressed, I will have outlets to expose the mistreatment of pro se litigal treated unfairly simply because they cannot afforcinjustice is occurring. I hope sincere action is take	ints by the Arizona courts. Pro se litiga d financial counsel. The public deserve	nts should not be
Thank you for your diligence in this important mat	tter. i eagerly await your response.	