State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-430
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

April 12, 2024

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace failed to explain a ruling in an injunction against harassment and failed to timely distribute the ruling.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 12, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-430

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge names, dates, times, and places	lain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional opies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side if the complaint for your records.
BACKGROUND	
within my social groups colluding tharassment and threats against magainst Harassment which were a individuals have even retaliated will ve subsequently challenged. One the matter before you, with Thus. a Contested Order Heart did not make a ruling ar was received. I figured to tell me the Injunction had be clarification regarding my right to a was created. The clerk replied with the attact appeal had passed. As can be senearing, to dismiss my alleges that a copy of this order was	hed Hearing Order and informed me the deadline to file a notice of en in the order, apparently decided, days after the Injunction Against Harassment. Further, the certificate of transmittal as mailed to both the defendant and me the same day it was issued,
the court. The clerk informed me t with further auestions, which went	(and apparently as well just a couple day's prior) had with further que ding notifications & correspondence sent by there was no mail returned undeliverable in this matter. I followed up unanswered and, after days of silence, the Incident was abruptly
amount of correspondence with the attachments, several containing fir court on, no such documents	ou might imagine, all of these cases have generated a significant e court, most of which is via e-mail. A search on yields results, of which were sent to me containing nal orders such as the one before you. Yet, until my inquiry with the were received regarding this matter, via e-mail nor US mail. Neither did
COMPLAINT	of such orders via e-mail nor US mail.
indicates copies were mailed to be nearly months after this mailing a	ere with the reality and the court's records. For example, the order of the myself and supparently too this day, I still have not received this order in and no mail had been returned.

e mail. The clerk further confirmed no mail had been returned. The only conclusion, then, that I could draw from this, is the order was and continues to be lost The only conclusion, then, that I could draw from this, is the order was and continues to be lost somewhere within the US mail system, an extremely rare thing to happen, especially nowadays. Yet, something even more rare has occurred here, with 2 separate yet related mail pieces both apparently lost somewhere within the US mail system at the same time, an occurrence I'd venture to say is next to impossible. Add to that the fact no e-mail correspondence was received by either party when, in every other similar case with this court, there was e-mail correspondence received, and you have an incident that is surely impossible. The more likely explanation, now, however, is repugnant, appearing to be some sort of deliberate attempt to not inform the parties of the final orders in this matter.

Further, no explanation, grounds, nor basis for the order's dismissal was provided nor exists, the clerk telling me I'd have to file a motion requesting such. (Cont'd next page) →

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLI	

EOD OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
Instruc	etions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own
words v	what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the
names,	dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages
may be	attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of
-	er only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

VIOLATIONS

This incident represents a due process nightmare. It has led to violations of multiple cornerstones of our honorable judicial system and our democracy itself that we take for granted, yet many around the world envy and yearn for, even risk their lives to obtain. Bless our Veterans who have fought and died for such rights.

Rights such as those enshrined by the Sixth Amendment, although specific to criminal prosecutions, are generally held to apply to civil cases as well. This can be seen in the rules for contested order hearings, in which each side may cross examine the witnesses against them.

The right to be informed of the nature and cause of the charges and proceedings is upheld by my obligation to serve the defendant with my complaints. This right to be informed never ceases throughout the entirety of a case, through appeals, and so on, and includes not only my accusations, but the answers to such by the other party and the judgments and orders from the court as well. This right to be informed has been violated herein.

We can see why being informed is so critical: because of this previous violation, my further right to appeal has been violated and now denied and lost.

On top of that, even if none of these previous violations occurred, has failed to provide any grounds or basis for the dismissal of my injunction. Were the margins on my pleadings too narrow? Or was it something more substantial? This also goes to my right to be informed. Yet, the clerk tells me I could file a motion to be informed? It's supposed to go without saying, nor request, and we can see

has, in fact, violated the rules for contested order hearings: "At the conclusion of the hearing, the judicial officer *must* state the basis for continuing, modifying, or revoking the protective order." 17B A.R.S. Rules Protect. Ord. Proc., Rule 38(g)(4) (emphasis added)

Plaintiff v. Defendant	Case No.	HEARING ORDER ☐ Order of Protection ☐ Injunction Against Harassment ☐ Injunction Against Workplace Harassment Issued Date://
	State Case No.	
☐ The request for:		
☐ A protective orded ☐ A hearing is den ☐ A motion to cont☐ A motion to mod	linue is denied.	ndrawn. Reason:
☐ The court cancels th		ate). (date).
☐ At Plaintiff's request	, the court dismisses the protectiv	e order listed above.
	, the court dismisses the protectiv	e order listed above.
At time of hearing:	, the court dismisses the protective eared ☐ Failed to appear but diceared ☐ Failed to appear	d not have notice □ Failed to appear but had notice
At time of hearing: Plaintiff: App Defendant: App	eared ☐ Failed to appear but did eared ☐ Failed to appear but did the protective order listed above.	d not have notice □ Failed to appear but had notice d not have notice □ Failed to appear but had notice
At time of hearing: Plaintiff: App Defendant: App The court dismisses A protective order is A protective order is The protective order	eared	d not have notice □ Failed to appear but had noticed not have notice □ Failed to appear but had notice
At time of hearing: Plaintiff: App Defendant: App The court dismisses A protective order is A protective order is The protective order	eared	d not have notice ☐ Failed to appear but had noticed not have notice ☐ Failed to appear but had noticed not have notice ☐ Failed to appear but had noticed SC § 922(g)(8)).
At time of hearing: Plaintiff: App Defendant: App The court dismisses A protective order is A protective order is The protective order As attached, the cou	eared	d not have notice ☐ Failed to appear but had noticed not have notice ☐ Failed to appear but had noticed not have notice ☐ Failed to appear but had notice SC § 922(g)(8)). Brady applies (18 USC § 922(g)(8)). Sted above. ☐ Brady applies (18 USC § 922(g)(8)).