

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-426

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 5, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly unsealed a family law case and did not allow her to be heard.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 5, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-426

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

please see attached page for
explanation.

Good Afternoon Higher Court Commission Board:

We went to court on [redacted] on temp orders. Historically, [redacted] is giving the big seat at the table, where he gets to talk and scream for however long, where the judge draws a conclusion, and 'fits me in,' just for good measure, not in due process...because I surely did not receive due process. Such as receiving a copy of the discovery that was permitted to be read in open court labeled, ' [redacted]

I attempted to, 'object' on the basis of rule, and was silenced by the judge. I intend on red lining my entire case for the news and media, and high powered attorneys that sift through ever detail of my case, including putting lawyers, counselors and judges on the stand from over the course of almost [redacted] years. With that said, I want my case handled by law, not by political or moral code, because moral code does not agree with me, it agrees with the man that has been incredibly enabled at destroying, robbing and raping my daughter and I since [redacted] I intend on holding [redacted] County and its providers accountable by the fullest extent of the Law, and finding the Law that extends to that FULL. This judge decided that it would be good form to open our case up to the public, after it was rightfully sealed, due to the fact that my daughters life has been exploited since birth on the basis of, 'child sexual abuse allegations,' which the court did not consider risky or jeopardy..as it relates to her social outlook, opportunities and exposure of such private matters to the open public. In addition, I have been employed by the county for close to a decade, whether as a contractor providing supervised visitations, or as a court advisor making recommendations as to mental health and custody. Myself and my child are NOT safe opening up our chest to the riffles of the county. Again, this is evidence of ignorance and deliberate shots at my chest for stepping up to the challenge the last [redacted] years. I know that. This judge perhaps needs a real lesson in history, and perhaps to see her own self in action. This was childish, and highschool play house to sit there and watch this child molester, and her play patty cake. Listen to the hearing. She lets him present his case, then reverts to telling him she can not move on that request. Why even let him present it? She was looking for an opportunity to Barry me, and I know that. Every single professional has picked up the low ball and threw it at me. Come on guys is it that hard to call out a con? I simply concluded that I was such a threatening individual, that this was all about religion and politics, and this County just does like my daddy. The KING. So have fun with my life, and my daughters. We believe the county will be buying our lunch for the rest of our lives.

To conclude: this judge opened the county and [redacted] and the child up to a serious threat, when she so vagrantly and deliberately unsealed our case, and played patty cake with [redacted] who didn't even utilize or need the information, beyond intimidation and harassment. The county is liable for anything that may transpire in mine or my daughters life related to matters I have been involved in as it relates to other [redacted] county family court.

W A N

V I I