

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-417

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 29, 2024

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 29, 2024.

*Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct*

**JUDICIAL COMPLAINT**

*Against*

**THE HONORABLE JUDGE**

*Judge of the County Court*  
*Respondent Judge*

*In the*  
*County Court*

*Case No.*

( )

&

*Arizona*

*Case No.*

&

*Court Petitioner for Review*

*Case No.*

*Appellant/Respondent*

*Petitioner Pro Per*

**CASE INFORMATION:**

**ARIZONA COURT OF COUNTY:**

***Case No.***

Superior Court Judge: The Hon.

Family Court Division ( ).

*Plaintiff/Appellant* - , f.k.a., (hereinafter, "Mother"/ " ").

*Respondent/Appellee* - (hereinafter, "Father" / " ").

**ARIZONA**

***Case No.***

***Memorandum Decision:*** filed

**ARIZONA COURT:**

***Petition for Review:*** Case No. - Submitted

**ATTORNEYS WHO APPEARED IN THE CASE:**

**State of Arizona:**

Assistant Attorney General (AZ Bar No. )

Assistant Attorney General (AZ Bar No. )

Prosecutors Office, Prosecuting Attorney (AZ Bar No.)

**Plaintiff:**

Attorney (AZ Bar No. )

Attorney (AZ Bar No. )

Attorney . (AZ Bar No. )

Attorney ( Bar No. )

**Respondent:**

Attorney (AZ Bar No. )

Attorney (AZ Bar No. )

Attorney (AZ Bar No. )

Attorney (AZ Bar No. )

Attorney ( Bar No. )

Attorney ( Bar No. )

Attorney ( Bar No. )

Attorney ( Bar No. )

Attorney ( Bar No. )

Attorney ( Bar No. )

**WITNESSES WHO OBSERVED JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT:**

**Petitioner's Witnesses:**

-

-

-

- Expert Witness for . ( )

**Other Witnesses:**

Judicial Assistants: and

CAA , CAA & Courtroom Marshalls

## CASE HISTORY

The Honorable Judge \_\_\_\_\_ was assigned to family law case \_\_\_\_\_, after the Hon. Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ was removed from the case, approximately from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_. A *Notice of Appeal* was filed by \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ and opened with the *Arizona* \_\_\_\_\_, on \_\_\_\_\_. On \_\_\_\_\_, nearly ( ) year and ( ) months later, the \_\_\_\_\_ *Court Panel of Judges* assigned to the appeal, the Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ (*Author*), the Hon. \_\_\_\_\_, and the Hon. \_\_\_\_\_, issued the panel's *Memorandum Decision*. (See EXHIBIT #1). \_\_\_\_\_ submitted her *Petition for Review*, (See EXHIBIT #2), on \_\_\_\_\_, with the *Arizona* \_\_\_\_\_ *Court*.

The Hon. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ was assisted by Judicial assistants, \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_, during his tenure in the *Family Law Division* of the *Arizona* \_\_\_\_\_ *Court of* \_\_\_\_\_ *County*. Two (2) Court Appointed Advisors were assigned to this case, \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_. There were also two (2) additional superior court Judges who contributed to the official court record by issuing orders and making rulings and findings directly in connection with this family law matter, the Hon. Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_, and the Hon. Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_. In \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_, during the appeal, the

Honorable Judge \_\_\_\_\_ was assigned to this case, replacing the  
Honorable Judge \_\_\_\_\_.

This is an extremely contentious, protracted, and complex family law case, that involves serious allegations of a conspiracy to interfere with civil and due process rights, under **42 U.S. Code § 1985** – to scheme to illegally overturn highly unfavorable orders from \_\_\_\_\_, (home state), and **“forum shop”** and advance litigation in Arizona, under no jurisdictional authority for over a year, in non-compliance with the Arizona Statutes, *A.R.S. §25-1037*, *A.R.S. §25-1036*, and against the *UCCJEA*, *UIFSA*, and the initial *Final Judgment filed on* \_\_\_\_\_, (See **EXHIBIT #3**), from the *Hon. Judge* \_\_\_\_\_ *of the Court of* \_\_\_\_\_ *County*, \_\_\_\_\_.

The record will reflect that \_\_\_\_\_ court officers presiding in this case, violated \_\_\_\_\_ rights and judicial canons on numerous occasions leading up to and at the final hearing held on \_\_\_\_\_, interfering with her ability to appear. Either through gross negligence, error, or other prejudicial means, the \_\_\_\_\_ court judge \_\_\_\_\_, without having jurisdictional authority, failed to uphold the integrity of the judiciary by condoning and allowing misconduct to take place, which prejudiced and harmed \_\_\_\_\_ and interfered with her civil and due process rights, forcing her to litigate in an inconvenient forum, while simultaneous proceedings were taking place in the controlling jurisdiction of the state of \_\_\_\_\_.

The court ignored Arizona statutes and laws, against several objections proffered by , and the State of Arizona, *Assistant Attorney General* , whose legal position was that the respondent was attempting to “forum shop” temporary orders from to Arizona, resulting in simultaneous proceedings which motioned the court to dismiss. However, the Hon. Judge ignored the State of Arizona, and proceeded anyway, without having any jurisdiction in the *Court of County* at that time.

The first time met the respondent’s counsel of record in person, was at an *Order of Protection* hearing in the superior court. **Attorney**

, (AZ Bar No. ), **threatened at the end of the hearing held on , in , where Attorney creepily stated, “ ”.** reported the harassing incident immediately to the *Arizona* , along with an email she received where Attorney blatantly told that his client would never pay the court order support payments from the order and when confronted by the , Attorney denied telling his client not to pay child support. .

is currently in the process of reporting additional misconduct in her family law case, and filing a Motion for abusive litigation, for numerous violations from Attorney under the *AZ Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 42*, in this case. ***See Exhibit #4 (A) & See EXHIBIT #4 (B).***

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**