# State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

# **Disposition of Complaint 23-413**

Judge:

Complainant:

# ORDER

## March 29, 2024

The Complainant alleged an appellate court judge failed to recuse from a case despite having a conflict of interest.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 29, 2024.

**CONFIDENTIAL** Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-413

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additiona pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
To the Members of the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct:
As an Arizona resident, I am deeply concerned that Arizona Court Judge will be involved in the ruling over the upcoming case brought by Arizona disputing the legitimacy of the I am concerned that the Judge has prejudicial views on the issue of demonstrated by previous public appearances and statements he has made.
The Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, Rule 2.11 states:
(A) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances:
(1) The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer, or personal knowledge of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding.
(5) The judge, while a judge or a judicial candidate, has made a public statement, other than in a court proceeding, judicial decision, or opinion, that commits or appears to commit the judge to reach a particular result or rule in a particular way in the proceeding or controversy.
With this understanding. I need to bring to your attention public statements made by the judge on and
On Judge spoke at a public demonstration protesting putside the at the time. Phoenix office. Judge was the at the time. He is quoted in an enclosed news article saying, " 'He also rebuked and stated, "
On Judge made a public social media post on stating that
" It is notable that Judge in a repeated instance has reterenced beliefs through a o express his viewpoint.
However, it is my is unwilling or unable to engage with the facts of this issue without prejudice.
Let me state plainly: I believe it will be damaging to the legitimacy of the Arizona Judge to participate in the upcoming case involving Arizona. I ask for the Commission's support in calling for the Judge to recuse himself in this case.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

٦

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:				
			_		

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see the news articles enclosed to referen above.	ce Judge public statements quoted
", ",	accessed online.
1	
, accessed online.	10 
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	accessed online.

			(/) Upco Moli	ming Event INING SCOOP: C	OLLEGE ATTAIN	MENTAND GROWING ARIZO	NA'S WORKFORCE	FREE NEWSLETTER (/EMAIL-ALERTS/)	SUBSCRIDE (/SUBSCRIDE/)
	News (/news /category /capitol- insidersart/)	The PowerList		Opinion (/news /category /opinion/)	Events (/events/)	Morning Scoop Videos	Public Notice	E-Edi (/dig editio	
								Arizona Daily Nev	vsletter
								Sign up for your daily	
								By signing up you agree to ou	
								Recent News	
								Recent News	
		Court	judge	e won	't disq	ualify him	self from		
h	earing								
		"	// 4 Min	ute Read					
	Listen to this a	rticle							
	<ul> <li>Listen to this a</li> <li>Court</li> </ul>			won't dis	qualify hims	elf from hearing a po	ending case brought by		
1				won't dis	qualify hims	elf from hearing a po	ending case brought by		
	Court	ho was ch was first discl		won't dis in by nas a screen	when he	posted on then then-	ending case brought by has not disputed the		
	Court	ho was ch was first discl		in by	when he	posted on then then-	has not disputed the		
	Court w	ho was ch was first discl		in by	when he shot of the	posted on when then- posting. wrote in the p aid that all parties in	has not disputed the post. the case *		
91	Court w	ho was ch was first discl The n	ewspaper h	in by	when he shot of the	posted on when then- posting. wrote in the p aid that all parties in	has not disputed the post.		
91 Jin	Court w tatement, which ir e this case,	ho was ch was first discl The n	ewspaper h to	in by nas a screen	when he shot of the si Si	posted on when then- posting. wrote in the p aid that all parties in	has not disputed the post. the case *		
et T	Court w tatement, which ir e this case,	ho was ch was first discl The n n a statement	ewspaper h to	in by nas a screen	when he shot of the si Si	posted on when then- posting. wrote in the p aid that all parties in	has not disputed the post. the case *		
gi ir	Court w tatement, which ir e this case,	ho was ch was first discl The n n a statement	ewspaper h to	in by nas a screen	when he shot of the si Si	posted on when then- posting. wrote in the p aid that all parties in	has not disputed the post. the case *		
gi , ir	Court w tatement, which ir e this case,	ho was ch was first discl The n n a statement	ewspaper h to he has saic	in by nas a screen	when he shot of the si Si	posted on when then- posting. wrote in the p aid that all parties in	has not disputed the bost. the case " tying subject matter" –		
si A	Court w tatement, which ir e this case,	ho was ch was first discl The n n a statement he said, is what in a statement. statements :	to to he has said	in by nas a screen f in the past	when he shot of the sta 'An	posted on when then- posting. wrote in the p aid that all parties in	has not disputed the bost. the case " lying subject matter" –	to the use of ALL the cookies	

"might reasonably be questioned." And it specifically mentions any case in which "the judge has a personal	
bias or prejudice concerning a party."	

said he is ' ' of his role as a judicial officer and the requirements of the code. But he declined to answer additional questions, saying that could call into question whether anything he is doing undermines rules that require a judge to act in a way that "promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary" and says a judge "shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety."

#### 'he said.

post about isn't his only public comment about the organization.

1		'he wrote.
What makes all this critical is that the	Court is set to hear arguments in	about whether

All this is in the wake of a decision last year by the

16	organ	zati	ion i	s	now	tal	cing	ac	loser	100	k at	
	16	ne organ	ne organizati	ne organization i	ne organization is	ne organization is now	ne organization is now tal	ne organization is now taking	ne organization is now taking a c	he organization is now taking a closer	he organization is now taking a closer loop	he organization is now taking a closer look at

'said the organization's senior director of public policy and government relations. "

But County Attorney who also is arguing the said she is not concerned about decision.

'she said.

And the current attorney general who has weighed in on the side of took a more nuanced approach.

said.

There is no procedure to force a

views on are not new.

In the argued in a court case that . He said lawmakers are entitled to " " in making decisions in this area.

he told a federal judge, saying the

The court disagreed. 1

While his position on a ligned with

Both the

One key incident both groups cited dated back to when

and

When that argument faltered,

It was only a veto by that blocked the maneuver.

3

## Share this:

0

O We use cookies on our WEGSTETS (STEVER) the most relevant experience by remembering your preferences and repeat visits. By clicking "Accept", you consent to the use of ALL the pookies.

Cookie settinos A

**Related Content** 

w Opinion Morning Scoop Videos Public Notice Events times.com (/news (/events/) /category /opinion/) he-

E-Editions Capitol Store (/digital-edition/)

Advertise (/advertising/)

ABOUT We use cookies on our website to give you the most relevant experience by remembering your preferences and repeat visits. By clicking "Accept", you consent to the use of ALL the cookies. Cookie settings ACCEPT itol Times provides 24/7 business news coverage and events honoring top business professionals.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.