

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-399

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

April 5, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge should have recused himself because he previously prosecuted the Complainant.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 5, 2024.

## CLAIM#

My Name is I receive your  
 Letter, AND I HAVE ALL OF MY PROPER COMPLAINT  
 INFORMATION INCLOSED. THERE WAS NOT A ENCLOSED COMPLAINT  
 FROM IN ENVELOPE. SO I HAVE MADE COPIES AND  
 ARE IN CLOSED IN THIS ENVELOPE. PLEASE LOOK OVER  
 ALL DOCUMENTS THESE ARE ACTUAL FACTS I HAVE  
 PROFF OF . ETHICAL MISCONDUCT...

Impropriety - is CENTRAL TO EVERY JUSTICE SYSTEM  
 IN THE HISTORY OF HUMAN CIVILIZATION, THE IDEA THAT  
Judges SHOULD AVOID EVEN THE APPEARANCE OF PARTIALITY  
 OR FAVORITISM IS SO DEEPLY ROOTED IN OUR SENSE  
 OF JUSTICE THAT WE DO NOT REQUIRE PROFF OF ACTUAL  
 BIAS OR PREJUDICE TOWARDS LITIGANTS AS SOLE prejudice  
 BIAS FOR DISQUALIFYING JURORS OR JUDGES THE IMPARTIALITY  
 OF A JUDGE

Avoidance OF THE APPEARANCE IMPROPRIETY  
 IS CENTRAL TO EVERY JUSTICE SYSTEM IN HISTORY OF  
HUMAN CIVILIZATION

Honorable.

present judge

my PROSECUTOR IN CASE#  
 AND THEN BECAME JUDGE  
 IN CASE#

AND HE HAD KNOWLEDGE  
 AND KNEW HE WAS THE PROSECUTOR, HE HAD SOMETHING  
 OF HATE TOWARDS ME. FROM DAY ONE I BELIEVE,  
 IT WAS THE COLOR OF MY SKIN

# The Complaint Form:

#

NAME OF ALL THE PEOPLE BRINGING THE SUIT. PLAINTIFF[S],  
COURT OF ARIZONA, County

V  
HONORABLE

NAMES OF ALL THE PEOPLE THE SUIT IS AGAINST, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES, DEFENDANT[S]

COMPLAINT

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

A. Jurisdiction & Venue - ETHICAL MISCONDUCT  
B. IMPROPRIETY

1. This is a civil action authorized by 42 U.S.C. Section 1983

to redress the deprivation, under color of state law, of rights secured  
by the Constitution of the United States. The Court has jurisdiction  
under 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 and 1343 CA(3) Plaintiff seeks

Declaratory Relief Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 2201 and 2202  
Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief are authorized by 28 U.S.C.  
Section 2283 & 2284 and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of  
Civil Procedure.

2. The [Name of district you are filing your suit in] is an  
appropriate venue under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 (B) (2) because it is  
where the events giving rise to this claim occurred.

A. JUDICIAL BIAS - RECUSED

①

# CIVIL CLAIM, CASE#

Rule 8.3 Reporting Professional Misconduct

1. PRAYER FOR RELIEF (G) WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF, Respectfully Prays That Court Enter Judgment granting Plaintiff

3. A DECLARATION THAT THE ACTS AND OMISSIONS DESCRIBED HEREIN VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS OF UNITED STATES

THE HONORABLE RECUSSED HIMSELF IN THE ABOVE CAUSED ACTIONS, Subsequently The Honorable

REASSIGNED these MATTERS TO THE COURT, HONORABLE,

THE COURT IS IN RECEIPT OF JUDGE COURT ORDER DATED

AS PRESIDING CRIMINAL, IT IS ORDERED REASSIGNING these MATTERS TO THE HONORABLE

FOR ALL FURTHER PROCEEDINGS. WHY: Prior TO TAKING THE BENCH THE COURT WAS THE PROSECUTOR IN DEFENDENT'S CASE

TO AVOID THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY, THE COURT RECUSE ITSELF FROM THIS ACTION, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED

DIRECTING THE CLERK TO BRING THIS FILE TO THE IMMEDIATE ATTENTION OF PRESIDING CRIMINAL JUDGE, THE HONORABLE

FOR CASE REASSIGNMENT.

HONORABLE DATE

## NOTICE COURT ORDER

THE COURT IS IN RECEIPT OF JUDGE COURT ORDER DATED

AS PRESIDING CRIMINAL JUDGE,

IT IS ORDERED REASSIGNING these MATTERS TO THE HONORABLE

FOR ALL FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

## WHY: THIS IS WHY

RULE 2.11 WAS NEARER RECORD OF WHY DOING THIS NOW / NOT THEN DISQUALIFICATION - Judge is now REASSIGNING these

MATTERS TO JUDGE

IMPROPRIETY

# Civil Claim

1. Prosecutor should Avoid Even the Appearance of Pro Fessional  
Employment. State Attorneys to Avoid, Conflict of Interest to  
Practice Challenge to Avoid Frivolous, False, Frudent Zealously  
Represent, Bye Being on Both Sides

1) A Judge should recuse Him or Herself in any Proceedi  
n which his

1. ARIZ R. Sup Ct, 42 Ethical Rule (ER) 8.4 (d)  
provides that it is 'PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT  
For a state lawyer to Engage in Conduct that is  
Prejudicial to Administration of Justice

RULE 1.1 COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW - A Judge shall comply  
with the law including the Code of Judicial Conduct

ANON 1. A judge shall Uphold and Promote the Independ  
ence Integrity and Impropriety and Impropriety Rule 1.1  
to Rule 1.3 (ARIZONA Code of Judicial Conduct

Rule 1.3 - Avoiding ABUSE OF THE Prestige Judicial Office

\* A judge shall not Abuse the Prestige of judicial  
office to Advance the personal, OR economic interests  
of Judge or others, or Allow others to do so...

Bye Being the State Prosecutor in my Case, Before Being a  
Judge in Another Case and Sentencing me Both times  
to prison could of got Probation or Went Home...

THE PRESENCE OF A FORMER OF THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE FOR THE STATE, BUT ALSO ANY QUESTIONS OF UNFAIRNESS BE AVOIDED. A CASE WHICH HAD PROSECUTED BY THAT JUDGE THAT WAS A PROSECUTOR IN DEFENDANT CASE RAISED SUBSTANTIAL AND UNAVOIDABLE AND KNOWING, HE SHOULD'VE RECUSED HIMSELF BECAUSE OF CONSEQUENCES OF CONDUCT THAT CREATES AN APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY...

Rule 12.8 - Commissioner Impartiality. B - A Judge SHALL DISCLOSE TO STATE ANY RELATIONSHIP WHICH, BUSINESS FINANCIAL, PERSONAL, FIDUCIARY, OR ATTORNEY - PRIOR, PROSECUTOR CLIENT OR ANY OTHER POSSIBLE CAUSE FOR CONFLICT & INTEREST OF BIAS OR PREJUDICE. C. A. A Judge SHALL DISQUALIFY HIMSELF OR HERSELF IN ANY PROCEEDING IN WHERE THE JUDGE IS IMPARTIALITY ABOUT A CASE. Judge Impartiality is CALLED INTO TO QUESTION IS A VIOLATION OF CODE.. Rule 1.1 A Judge shall comply, with, LAW, including the Code OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT. Rule 1.1 \* COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW

CANON 1. A. Judge shall uphold AND PROMOTE THE INDEPENDENCE, INTEGRITY AND IMPARTIALITY OF JUDICIARY AND SHALL AVOID, IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY OF C RULE. 1.1 TO RULE 1.3...

MOORE - SHOULD HAD A CONFLICT FREE COUNSEL, I DID NOT THAT WAS MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, CONFLICT OF INTEREST UNDER THE SIXTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. ARTICLE 2, 24, HAD A ACTUAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST ADVERSELY AFFECTED MY ATTORNEY & MY LIFE

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**