

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-375

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 23, 2024

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge made improper rulings in motion to suppress in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 23, 2024.

Hello

I'm writing to you to testify on and about the misconduct that Judge \_\_\_\_\_ of the \_\_\_\_\_ court of \_\_\_\_\_ Arizona has committed. by His actions has resulted in the prejudice & neglect of Justice in an unfair prison term, which if the justice & judgement was correct it would of resulted in a Acquittal or Dismissal of the Trial.

I will provide courts, case numbers, & lawyer & lawyers address that represented my behalf!

on \_\_\_\_\_ me & \_\_\_\_\_ ) & co-defendant &  
 OWNER of the vehicle that drugs where seized was pulled over in arrest stop on \_\_\_\_\_ the \_\_\_\_\_ exit. THE Probable cause that Allegedly made officers pull the vehicle over was invalid registration of expired TAGS on the car. me & \_\_\_\_\_ WAS taken to the \_\_\_\_\_ county Jail. \_\_\_\_\_ days later we were released on O.R. Bonds but I was from \_\_\_\_\_ & he was from \_\_\_\_\_ & I didnt understand that, but anyways! When I was released from Jail, so was he because he was the co-defendant of my case released at the same time & day! I caught up with him in the Booking Room & I was explaining to him how happy I was for to be released, he told me he would give me a ride to a hotel & I agreed & presued. I thought we was going to have to ask the officers if we could use a phone so he could call some one to help him get the car from the impound lot, but he didnt, we walked outside the county Jail & his car we where arrested in was outside in the parking lot. THATS when I thought in my

mind, oh these officers think I'm stupid!

A pre-trial was attended on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.  
During this pre-trial me & my original Trial Attorney  
Filed A motion to suppress the Evidence of  
this case to present all the Evidence to the Jury of this CASE ~~RE~~  
But Judge \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ denied this motion, which  
resulted in the Jury NOT to see the Evidence of the Trial  
because it would've presented the Ticket that was wrote for  
the stop, & it would've presented The proof of the vehicle in the  
Impound, but I know the car never was in the impound  
because when me & the co-defendant \_\_\_\_\_ was  
released from the \_\_\_\_\_ county JA, the car was in the  
parking lot of the county Jail already. So who got the car  
from the Impound? who the Police? The Judge Falls under  
misconduct by denying that motion to suppress the Evidence in  
that Trial because Evidence was mishandled & overlooked  
in this case which would've resulted in an acquittal or for  
the case to be dismissed. ALSO A Double Jeopardy Violation  
occurred, but the Judge \_\_\_\_\_ ALSO Falls under  
another point of misconduct by allowing such a thing to proceed  
in his court room. this violation resulted in a unfair judgement  
& Prejudice of the Trial. my attorney Failed to  
defend me on this Double Jeopardy Violation which was the  
1<sup>st</sup> count of Ineffective Assistance of Council, ALSO I WAS  
not offered A plea agreement, ~~but~~ A plea agreement  
could've been presented to me during the pre-trial conference  
but never WAS!

- CASE #

NO.

Trial Attorney-

Appellant Attorney-

Rule 33 Attorney-

~~in the case~~

I ASK 4 FAIR JUSTICE & HELP SOON!

~~I ASKED~~